

<b>Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary</b>	<b>Application No.</b>	<b>Applicant(s)</b>
	10/810,548	UITENBROEK, PAUL
	Examiner Erick R. Solis	Art Unit 3747

**All Participants:**

(1) Erick R. Solis.

**Status of Application:** \_\_\_\_\_

(3) \_\_\_\_\_

(2) Robert W. Becker.

(4) \_\_\_\_\_

**Date of Interview:** 19 July 2007

**Time:** \_\_\_\_\_

**Type of Interview:**

Telephonic  
 Video Conference  
 Personal (Copy given to:  Applicant     Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated:  Yes     No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

**Part I.**

Rejection(s) discussed:

NA

Claims discussed:

NA

Prior art documents discussed:

NA

**Part II.**

**SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:**

See Continuation Sheet

**Part III.**

It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.  
 It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: Examiner informed applicant's representative of certain procedural deficiencies regarding foreign priority claims. Specifically that the reissue application incorrectly claimed foreign priority to a PCT application of which this is a national stage application. Applicant's representative agreed to submit a new declaration correcting the deficiencies, the examiner indicated he would correct the specification by an examiner's amendment.